

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MERCE .

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,826	02/27/2004	Carsten Metz	8	6943
7590 11/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Lucent Technologies Inc.			LEE, BENNY T	
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219) 101 Crawfords Corner Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Holmdel, NJ			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/788,826	METZ, CARSTEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benny Lee	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	eptember 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-14,16 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5, 6; 7-13; 14, 16, 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

The amendments to the specification filed 19 September 2005 do not conform to the requirements set forth in 37 CFR 1.121 and thus have not been entered. Accordingly, the objections to the specification set forth in the last Office action remain outstanding, as set forth below:

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 7, lines 9, 10, note that reference labels (H, W, D, and perhaps L) should reference --Fig. 4B-- in which they appear; line 23, note that the recitation "Similar to figure 3, the transmission line represented by plot 502..." is not understood and need clarification. Note that reference labels (402, 406, 407, 408) need description relative to "FIG. 4B". Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the support posts (i.e. cls 10, 17) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Art Unit: 2817

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The support elements being "support posts" as recited in claims 10, 17 need to be disclosed in the specification.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6; 7-13; 14, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

1.

In claims 1, 7, 14, note that it is unclear whether the recitation "is not in electrical contact with said <u>substrate</u> other than through said support elements" is a proper characterization of the invention. Should "substrate" properly be --ground plane--? Clarification is needed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

applicant regards as the invention.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Riblet et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,826 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

Riblet et al (Fig. 1) discloses a RF transmission line structure comprising: a conducting transmission line; a metal substrate (e.g. 1) defining a ground plane layer; plural stub means (4) for electrically connected the transmission line (3) to the ground layer (e.g. 1) at end wall (7), as well as suspending the conductor (3) a spaced distance over ground layer, such that the transmission line is electrically connected to the ground layer only through the stubs (4).

Claims 1-3, 5, 6; 7-13; 14, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Salmela et al ('274).

Salmela et al (Fig. 1) discloses an RF transmission line comprising: a dielectric substrate including a ground plane (e.g. 2) associated therewith; a conducting transmission line conductor (1); a plurality of conductive posts (7) which are capable of supporting conductive line (1) relative to the ground plane (2), such that the conductor (1) is in electrical contact with the ground plane only through the conductive posts (7). Moreover, note that the post (7) are capable of suspending the conductive line (1) over the substrate. Moreover, as known to those of ordinary skill in the art, the characteristics inherently are set to provide the desired impedance.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-6; 7, 8, 10, 11, 14-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at telephone number 571 272 1764.

B. Lee

PRIMARY EXAMINE:
ART UNIT 281 /